UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,210	04/13/2004	Bruce Cleevely	36559/483	6299
23643 7590 BARNES & THO			EXAMINER ELOSHWAY, NIKI MARINA ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
11 SOUTH MERI	DIAN			
INDIANAPOLIS,	IN 46204			
			3781	
				
SHORTENED STATUTORY PI	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
2 MONT	пс	03/27/2007	DAD	DED

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			e
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/824,210	CLEEVELY, BRUCE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Niki M. Eloshway	3781	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication (ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un 	This action is non-final. Ilowance except for formal matte	·	is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-15 and 17 is 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11,16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	/are withdrawn from considerati	on.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the c	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyan orrection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies.	ments have been received ments have been received in Ap priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date)/Mail Date formal Patent Application 	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 1-11 and 16) in the reply filed on December 27, 2006 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 12-15 and 17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on December 27, 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-6, 8, 9, 11 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bourgeois (U.S. 6,660,349). Bourgeois teaches a closure 14 having a top wall 20, a skirt 22, and a plurality of pads 32. The inner surface of the top wall is circular. The threads of the skirt are elements 23.

Regarding the limitations that the pads are configured to vent gas and that the pads are configured to engage a face of an outer punch, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish

the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

5. Claims 1-6, 8, 9, 11 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Banich (U.S. 4,331,249). Banich teaches a closure 20 having a top wall 26, a skirt 28, and a plurality of pads shown in figures 5, 7 and 9. The inner surface of the top wall is circular, as shown in figure 1. The threads of the skirt are elements 30.

Regarding the limitations that the pads are configured to vent gas and that the pads are configured to engage a face of an outer punch, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bourgeois (U.S. 6,660,349) in view of Taber et al. (U.S. 6,964,346).

Regarding claim 7, Bourgeois discloses the claimed invention except for the tamper indicating band. Taber et al. teaches that it is known to provide a closure with a tamper indicating band (see element 26). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made

Art Unit: 3781

to provide the closure of Bourgeois with the tamper indicating band of Taber et al., in order to indicate to the user that the container has been opened.

Regarding claim 10, Bourgeois discloses the claimed invention except for the plastic material being polypropylene. Taber et al. teaches that it is known to construct a closure from polypropylene (see col.3 lines 56-63). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the closure of Bourgeois made of polypropylene, as taught by Taber et al., in order to use a well known and widely used plastic which is suitable for molding.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

 The prior art is cited for the pads on the top wall of the closure.
- 9. THIS ACTION IS NON-FINAL.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Niki M. Eloshway whose telephone number is 571-272-4538. The examiner can normally be reached on Thursdays and Fridays 8 a.m. to 4 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Anthony Stashick can be reached on 571-272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/824,210

Art Unit: 3781

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ik/M. Eloshwa

Page 5

Examiner Art Unit 3781

nme